

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/680,986 10/08/2003		Derek Owen	60,130-1891;03MRA0488	6481		
26096	7590 01/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			SICONOLFI, ROBERT			
SUITE 350	MAPLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			3683			
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					N			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		10/680,9	86	OWEN ET AL.				
		Examine	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Art Unit	 			
		Robert A.		3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	ss			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the month adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ex reply within the sta riod will apply and v atute, cause the ap	rent, however, may a reply b tutory minimum of thirty (30) rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS f blication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this comm DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	9 October 200) 4 .					
•		This action is r						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo			prosecution as to the me	erits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) s	accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the control of t	ne Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·		-	* *			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				·			
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been ents have been briority docum	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece le 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Sta	age			
Attachmer 1) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	any (PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/680,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed on 10/19/04 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/54157.

WO 99/54157 discloses a crimped collar. See figure 7 and pages 10 and 11 of the specification.

WO 99/54157 does not disclose crimping to form crimped areas at opposed locations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the collar crimped at 4 locations as such is merely a design choice.

Regarding claim 2, 4, 5, 9-11, and 20-22, WO 99/54157 is relied upon as above. WO 99/54157 does not disclose various design details claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the various design details claimed as such is merely a design choice. These details have a insignificant impact on the functioning of the device.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/680,986

Art Unit: 3683

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants appear to have argued embodiments of WO 99/54157 that were not used as a basis for rejection. The examiner used Figure 7 which clearly contains a pinched area and not the engagement portions that the applicant has argued. Therefore, the arguments are irrelevant to the rejection as made.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3683

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Sico Examiner

Art Unit 3683